

Work Group 172: Pave Modernization

9/16/15 Meeting Notes

Industry Lead: Bob Schimek, Senior Director of Postal Affairs, Satori Software; USPS Lead: Carlos Cruz, Business Mailer Support

Bob Schimek	X	Ariel Zhao	X	David Propst	X	Jim Garber	X
Carlos Cruz	X	Beth Bigelow		Ty Inman	X	Stephen Colella	
Steve Krejcik		Adam Racine	X	Daniel Myrum		Mark Rheaume	
Angelo Anagnostopoulos	X	Chris Moning	X	Paul Kovelakas		Tom Glassman	X
Steve Belmonte		Randy Ford	X	Cesar Valles	X	Dewitt Crawford	X
Lynda Hurley		Bill Towery		Danielle Aleman	X	Pete Furka	X
Ed Wanta	X	Stan Meglin		Brad Hill		Shawn Baldwin	X

- Topic was introduced regarding the feasibility of modernizing PAVE testing by migrating it to a TEM environment.
 - Can TEM files be submitted and evaluated for certification?
 - What are the priorities to be tested in TEM (label list, CSA, bundles, etc.)
 - How does PAVE get the files used today? Can TEM accommodate the same files?
 - Randy Ford explained that PAVE uses a set of tables throughout their testing. There is a consistency so PAVE knows what to expect. Can TEM be set up like this, but as a slimmed-down version that addresses the highest risk, highest volume, most complex scenarios?
 - Can SASP be leveraged in a TEM environment?
- Randy Ford presented a high-level overview of what the PAVE program currently does, including its strengths and weaknesses. The presentation will be shared with the group.
- The key question is what are TEM's capabilities compared to what PAVE provides. A gap analysis should be conducted to evaluate the plausibility of migrating PAVE testing to TEM.
- For next week, a TEM expert will be invited to provide direction on what is currently available in TEM. These factors can be compared side by side to what is currently available in PAVE and potential gaps identified.